Distributed Enterprise Knowledge Management: Balancing Individual and Organizational Needs

Ludger van Elst
German Research Center for Artificial Intelligence (DFKI)
- Knowledge Management Department -

elst@dfki.uni-kl.de

Workshop on Agent-mediated Knowledge Management
AMKM @ AAMAS 2005

2005-07-25

Outline

Starting Point	Supporting Knowledge Management with Organizational Memory Information Systems
Drawbacks and Solution Approach	From Centralized to Distributed Organizational Memories
Agent-based Realization	The FRODO Framework for Distributed Organizational Memories
Summary and Outlook	Towards Agent-Mediated Knowledge Management

Outline

Starting Point	Supporting Knowledge Management with Organizational Memory Information Systems
Drawbacks and Solution Approach	From Centralized to Distributed Organizational Memories
Agent-based Realization	The FRODO Framework for Distributed Organizational Memories
Summary and Outlook	Towards Agent-Mediated Knowledge Management

Knowledge Management (KM) Research is Strongly Driven by Real World Needs of Today's Enterprises

- Nonaka/Takeuchi attributed Japan's success over the US economy (in the eighties) to improved knowledge creation
- Many companies define themselves as becoming "Knowledge Organizations"
- Many companies had KM projects (often assessed as flops ☹)
- Many companies had Information/Document Management projects (often labeled as KM projects and rated as flops ☹)
- Many companies still have (Info/Document/___) Management projects that root in bad KM
- There are still public discussions about the transition of many countries into "Knowledge/Information Societies"

Of course, the buzzword lifecycle might also apply to KM.

Knowledge management intends a holistic approach

Knowledge Management is a

- structured, holistic approach
- to improve the handling of knowledge
  (know-how, experience, skills, active documentation)
- on all levels (individual, group, organizational)
- in order to save costs, improve quality, support innovation

see: www.netacademy.org

Credo: Successful KM Needs a Holistic View



Knowledge Management Takes Place at Various Levels

Individual Level 	*Group Level* 	 *Organizational Level*
Intuition Competencies Knowledge Expectations ...	Routines Role allocation Shared language Complementary com-petencies ...	Core competencies Myths Secret rules Contracts Electronic knowledge base ...
KM as an individual competency	KM as a team tool	KM as an organizational method

Adapted from: M. Eppler/St. Gallen

Information Technology is often seen as an enabling factor for facilitating organizational Knowledge Management



Adapted from: Probst/Raub/Romhardt

KnowMore Architecture (1998): Ontology-based information description and workflow context are combined for pro-active user support



Integration into the Workflow Environment Realizes the Active Support



Outline

Starting Point	Supporting Knowledge Management with Organizational Memory Information Systems
Drawbacks and Solution Approach	From Centralized to Distributed Organizational Memories
Agent-based Realization	The FRODO Framework for Distributed Organizational Memories
Summary and Outlook	Towards Agent-Mediated Knowledge Management

Observation 1: A monolithic central OM is seldom feasible

- Various stakeholders in an organization have different requirements
  - individual knowledge sources
  - domain-specific knowledge structures
- Each stakeholder closely guards knowledge in its possession
  - Responsibility, competition, rivalry
- Information sources are structured according to the particular needs of the respective stakeholder
  - Explicit ontologies illustrate the respective organization principles
- Evolutionary grow-up of knowledge management solutions has advantages
  - high motivation by ‘quick wins’
  - success stories in pilot areas convinces the top management
- ... but results in competing, dispersed results
  - individual solutions resist global standardizations

A flexible Framework for Distributed Organizational Memories (FRODO) facilitates the evolution of OMs by integrating different local solutions

FRODO extends the OM paradigm towards a less rigid, distributed scenario



- OM introduction starts with ‚quick wins' and small pilots
- Several (group/department-wide) OM can be established
- To realize a comprehensive OM the islands must inter-operate
- Scalability is the key question

Vertical Scalability Allows to Extend <u>One</u> OM in All Relevant Dimensions



- Extension of application level
- Plug in new services
- Ontology evolution
- Information objects from additional sources

Horizontal Scalability Addresses Interoperability of Several OMs



Co-operation of OMs requires complex co-ordination mechanisms.

Observation 2: Different types of work require different support!

- Static process models / workflows provide reliable triggers and valuable context information
  - if the work in question is repetitive in nature
  - if the work in question can be modeled a priori
  - if information needs are determined once and for all
- Knowledge-intensive work typically can not be modeled by static process models
  - details of work are not repetitive
  - task sequences are not known a priori
  - information needs vary greatly

Process-oriented support for knowledge-intensive work require the notion of dynamically configured, agile knowledge workflows.

Outline

Starting Point	Supporting Knowledge Management with Organizational Memory Information Systems
Drawbacks and Solution Approach	From Centralized to Distributed Organizational Memories
Agent-based Realization	The FRODO Framework for Distributed Organizational Memories
Summary and Outlook	Towards Agent-Mediated Knowledge Management

The agent paradigm is appropriate to model distributed OM scenarios

- The characteristics of distributed organizational memories in realistic enterprise scenarios are described by the notion of agent societies
  - components have to be considered as autonomous units
    - individual business units with specific information sources and structures
    - individual goals result in different commitments
    - individual procedures cope with local particularities
  - cooperation relies on agreements between partners
    - societies of agents with agreed-upon roles
    - interactions are governed by rights and obligations
- Using the agent paradigm to model OM designs results in clear roles, responsibilities, and communication structures

Agent societies are characterized by underlying role models

- Role models reflect social competence of agents
  - modelled by rights and obligations
  - influence agent behaviour
  - resulting in typical speech acts and protocols for society build-up
- Role models allows to ensure some global system characteristics while also preserving individual flexibility
  - Explicit rights and obligations allow to commit to specific roles
  - roles guarantee global behaviour
  - role descriptions are represented by formal models
- The notion of socially-enabled agents is relevant for all FRODO framework components

A social model is defined by rules

- SpeechAct ::= (FRODO_SA, Protocol^{0,1}).
- Competency ::= (ReceiverRole, SpeechAct)| Action.
- Right ::= **perform** Competency **if** Condition.
- Obligation ::=
  when SpeechAct **from** ReceiverRole **andif** Condition
  perform Competency |
  if Condition **perform** Competency.
- Role ::= rolename(Right*, Obligation*).
- Rolemodel ::= rolemodelname{Role*}.

Rights are modeled as *filter rules* on intentions

Obligations are modeled as *reactive* or *proactive rules*

A social layer in the platform ensures fair processing of rights & obligations

In FRODO, *all levels of a single OM* as well as *mediation services* between OM are designed and implemented as agents



- An agent-based weakly-structured workflow system for specifying information needs and their context
- Information agents for satisfying specific information needs
- Ontology agents for the maintenance of domain vocabulary
- Wrappers for info sources

Different ontology related agents can be identified in the organization

Knowledge Level Description:

- Goals
- Knowledge
- Competencies
- Rights
- Obligations



The ontology society is formed by determining rights and obligations of specific agents

Concrete speech acts are derived from knowledge-level descriptions

	Non User	Passive User	Associate User	Partner User	Expert	Editor
Query		**R**	**R**	**R**	**R**	**R**
Answer Queries					**R/O**	**R**
Receive Update			**R**	**R**	**R**	**R**
Suggest Update		**R**	**R**	**R/O**	**R**	**R/O**
Edit						**R**
Send Upd. Notif.						**R/O**
ApplyForRole	**R**	**R**	**R**	**R**		
Grant Guarantees						**R**
Guarantee Quality						**O**

- Ontology Utilization
- Ontology Evolution
- Ontology Socialization

R: has-the-right-to

O: is-obliged-to

Distributed Domain Ontology Agents Mediate Between Different OMs



Each agent can play different roles with respect to the various ontologies

The application level of an OM asks for additional agent structures

- Workflow Agents enact agile knowledge processes
  - intertwining of workflow modeling and execution
    - new workflow blueprints and new tasks are created during execution
  - the individual task instance is represented as an agent
    - responsible for completion of the task
    - communicates e.g. with other task instances in the workflow
  - other relevant entities are realized as agents with specific roles
    - models, resources, manager
- Personal User Agents mediate between user and system
  - represent and observe individual goals and preferences
  - may act pro-actively, observing local and global context
  - interact and negotiate with other PUAs to realize collaborative aspects

Agents support the knowledge object & access level of distributed OMs

- Info Agents access the information sources
  - multiple agent types, roles, and functionalities realize distributed information management
  - Info Agents interact with Context Agents and Personal User Agents
- Distributed information processing profits from agent structures
  - document analysis and classification
  - need-driven metadata extraction
  - wrappers
  - collaborative filtering

The agents interact in a complex society structure in order to realize information support based on weak workflows



FRODO agents are implemented on top of a multi-layer architecture



Looking back: Knowledge Management Takes Place at Various Levels

Individual Level 	***Group Level*** 	 ***Organizational Level***
?	Distributed Organizational Memories with Flexible Ontology Societies and Agile Knowledge Workflows	Monolithic Organizational Memory with Global Ontologies and (Standard) Workflows
EPOS (since 2003)	**FRODO (2000-2002)**	**KnowMore (1997-1999)**

Knowledge Management has to cope with contradictions between personal and organizational goals

- Organizations introduce organizational memories (OMs) to improve access to and use of critical knowledge
- Individuals do not and do not want to realize any benefit
- The introduction in almost all cases requires new duties
  - document activities
  - describe skills
  - categorize and structure information
  - answer additional questions
  - learn and accepts pre-given access modalities
  - formulate requests

Knowledge workers often do not accept knowledge management technology in order to keep their subjective productivity

The personal workspace reflects the user's activities, concepts, views and way of thinking



- observable elements
  - files, names, content
  - file/folder structures
  - classification mechanisms / bookmarks, mail folders
  - inter-dependencies
- observable actions
  - generation, access/use, modification
  - task context
- observable context
  - weakly-structured workflows
  - activity modeling, time tracker

Effort in structuring individual information spaces provides valuable input for Knowledge Management

- Knowledge workers use various tools for conceptualizing their domains
  - Generic operating system structures (file folders)
  - Dedicated information management applications (address books, mail tools, outliners, mind managers,…)
- Advantages of these *native structures* concern knowledge utilization and acquisition
  - They reflect – at least temporarily – the worker's individual view and can therefore easily be exploited by the consultant
  - They are regularly extended and maintained
- Problems arise from the lack of clear semantics
  - With easily extendable structures, often redundant and contradictory models are created which are
    - difficult to utilize by automatic services
    - hard to share with other knowledge workers
  - The (ascribed) ad hoc semantics is typically not stable. Therefore, the usefulness over time even for one knowledge worker is not given.

In EPOS, a formally grounded personal information model, fed by the native structures, bridges individual and organizational Knowledge Management.

From a modeling point of view native structures are often flawed

- No distinction between/mixture of `subclass-of` and `part-of` relations:
  - DFKI `subclass-of` LEUTE ???
  - Bertin Klein `part-of` DFKI !!!
  - Studenten `subclass-of` Leute !!!
- No separation of distinct domains

Project & document type
Tasks/To do
Document type
Access right
Persons





- Document centered view often justifies models
- But also:
  Real violations of semantics

The EPOS vision: A Personal Information Model (PIM) as *semantic middleware* for knowledge services

- The PIM is a formally grounded model
- More global ontologies as well as native structures provide input
- A maintenance assistant will help with stepwise formalization of native structures
- The PIM can be utilized by various knowledge services (retrieval, personal information agent, visualization, …)

- Technical aspects:
  - Semantic Web technology allows for seamless integration into broader environments (group, company, Internet)
  - The JENA 2 framework (by HP) will allow for persistent handling of PIM base on RDF/S and OWL
  - The PIM implementation can be seen as a Semantic Web ontology Service

- Challenges:
  - Integration of existing ontologies
  - Leveraging native structures
  - Mappings between PIM



The brainFiler integrates various native structures in one Personal Information Model

- Application with explorer plus search engine-like look&feel
  - Multiple personal views on the native file system
  - Integration of bookmark and mail folders
  - Active synchronization with native structures
- Knowledge Model: taxonomies + attribute-value pairs
  - RDF/S im-/export
- For attached documents, the classifier realizes the `is-a` semantics
  - Set inclusion
  - Automatic, content-based classification suggestions
- Developed together with brainbot AG
  - brainbot is a DFKI spin-off company



The EPOS Ontology Space Comprises ALL Levels of KM



Outline

Starting Point	Supporting Knowledge Management with Organizational Memory Information Systems
Drawbacks and Solution Approach	From Centralized to Distributed Organizational Memories
Agent-based Realization	The FRODO Framework for Distributed Organizational Memories
Summary and Outlook	Towards Agent-Mediated Knowledge Management

Summary: The DFKI Way as Example towards AMKM

- Organizational Memories as concept for supporting organizational KM
- Characterization of KM landscapes show drawbacks of centralized approaches
  - Distributed nature of knowledge
  - Distribution of (legacy) information systems
  - Flexibility of knowledge-intensive processes
- The FRODO framework for distributed OMs applies agent technology on all levels:
  - Socially-enabled agents reflect the social aspects of knowledge
    - Rights and obligations
    - Sets of rights and obligations form role models
    - Agents can commit to roles. This leads to societies.
  - The platform allows easy creation of KM specialists
    - flexible creation of and cooperation between agents
    - individual agent behaviour enhances the systems's adaptiveness
    - configuration by specification of formal models
- EPOS aims at a better coupling with the knowledge worker's needs and exploits the individual knowledge work for a better maintenance of organizational knowledge structures.

Requirements for successful KM technology (1)

- **KM has to respect the *distributed nature of knowledge* in organizations**
  Particular views of stakeholders (individuals, groups, departments)
  - Balance individual and global needs by negotiating shared aspects (respecting economic contraints)
  - Provide means for handle context switches (e.g, for knowledge assets in case of diverging views)
    - *It's a feature, not a bug!!!*
    - *It's mainly a social question, not a technical one!!!*
- **There is an *inherent goal dichotomy* between business processes and KM**
  KM processes are typically second order processes (especially knowledge conservation, evolution, organization)
  - Assistant systems and proactivity
  - Business process-oriented KM

Requirements for successful KM technology (2)

- **Knowledge work and KM in general are "wicked problem solving"**
  No a prio solution description and planning,
  social processes
  - Flexible configuration of knowledge flows, adaptivity, agility
  - Support the complex interactions and underlying, relatively sophisticated processes like planning, coordination and negotiation of knowledge activities.
- **KM has to deal with changing environments**
  KM systems bridge first-order systems, highly connected and therefore sensible to external change
  - Agile architectures

Agent-Mediated Knowledge Management

- Distributedness
- Flexibility of interaction
- Goal orientation, social aspects
- Re- and proactivity



Make Societies of Agents Balance the "KM Seesaw"!

What can "Agents for KM" mean?
A description framework for agent-based KM systems

- **System development level**
  - Organizational Analysis
  - System Architecture
  - System Implementation
- **Macro-level structure of the multi-agent system**
  - Single Agent
  - Homogeneous Multi-Agent Systems
  - (Heterogeneous) Agent Societies
- **KM application area**
  - E.g., Nonaka: Socialization, Externalization, Internalization, Combination
  - E.g., Probst/Raub/Romhardt: Identification, Acquisition, Development, Distribution, Preservation, Utilization

An overview on many working points in this design space can be found in van Elst, Dignum, Abecker (2003), Springer LNAI 2926.

The vision of Agent-mediated Knowledge Management addresses all development levels of KM support systems

Organizational Analysis	Relevant actors/human agents, groups, tasks, competencies, etc. and their relations
System Architecture	Artificial agents, agencies, etc. and their relations, e.g., AUML
System Implementation	AgentClasses, Behaviors, e.g., JADE, JACK, ...



AMKM 2005 @ AAMAS

- **First time for AMKM to bring the KM application area to an agent conference** ☺
- **Three Sessions:**
  - Distributed Knowledge Management
  - Ontologies
  - P2P Knowledge Management
- **New and emerging topics** ☺ (e.g., awareness, multiple organizations, simulation for evaluation)
- **Thanks to Jurriaan!** Even a new, young member in the board of AMKM chairs ☺
- **Hope:**
  Have a bit of the spirit of the first AMKM also at AMKM-2005!

Thank you for your attention!

Any Questions?

http://www.dfki.de/frodo/

http://www.dfki.de/epos/

Contribute? **More material?**



Workshop Series on AMKM
http://www.dfki.uni-kl.de/~elst/AMKM
http://www.dfki.uni-kl.de/~elst/AMKM2004/

Springer LNAI 2926



Links

- DFKI Knowledge Management Department
  http://www.dfki.de/KnowledgeManagement
- Ludger van Elst
  http://www.dfki.uni-kl.de/~elst/
- KnowMore
  http://www.dfki.uni-kl.de/km/flyer/KnowMore-e.html
- FRODO
  http://www.dfki.uni-kl.de/frodo
  http://www.dfki.uni-kl.de/KM//content/e179/e506/index_eng.html
- EPOS
  http://www3.dfki.uni-kl.de/epos
- AMKM-2003
  http://www.dfki.uni-kl.de/~elst/AMKM/
- AMKM-2004
  http://www.dfki.uni-kl.de/~elst/AMKM2004/
- AMKM-2005
  http://www.cs.uu.nl/~jurriaan/AMKM2005/